

### **REMARKS**

Claims 1 - 79 are now pending in the application. Applicant wishes to cancel claims 1 - 14 and 16 - 63. The Examiner has stated that pending claims 15 and 64 - 79 are allowed. Applicant thanks the Examiner for allowance of these claims and elects to accept the allowed claims in this application in order for this application to proceed to allowance.

### **REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103**

Claims 1 - 14 and 16 - 63 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harris et al. (U.S. 6,333,654) in view of McDaniel et al. (U.S. 6,232,604). Although Applicant traverses the rejection, in view of the above amendments, Applicant respectfully submits that this rejection has been rendered moot.

### **DOUBLE PATENTING**

Claims 1 - 14 and 16 - 63 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 - 23 of U.S. Patent No. 6,804,788 in view of NG et al (U.S. 6,011,679). Although Applicant traverses the rejection, in view of the above amendments, Applicant respectfully submits that this rejection has been rendered moot.

## CONCLUSION

It is believed that all of the stated grounds of rejection have been properly traversed, accommodated, or rendered moot. Applicant therefore respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw all presently outstanding rejections. It is believed that a full and complete response has been made to the outstanding Office Action and the present application is in condition for allowance. Thus, prompt and favorable consideration of this amendment is respectfully requested. If the Examiner believes that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at (248) 641-1600.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: October 10, 2006

By: /Joseph M. Lafata/  
Joseph M. Lafata, Reg. No. 37,166

HARNES, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.  
P.O. Box 828  
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303  
(248) 641-1600

JML/elh